	Recipient <u> </u>	IOPEKS	TxCDBG Contract No.	7215409
Evalua	tor's Name include	a Guevasa	Date of Rating 03	21-2016
Experie listed fo either fr	nce Rate the Respond or each factor. Information om past experience wit	dent of the Request For Proposal (RFP tion necessary to assess the Respond h the Respondent and/or by contacting	) by awarding points ent on these criteria	up to the maximum
Experie	nce	The state of the contacting	g past/current clients	of the Respondent.
	Factors		M- D	
1.	Related Experience / I	Background with federally funded project	Max.Pts.	Score
2.	TOTALED EXTERIEDED / F	acquisition of assectific project type		
4.	Certified Administrator	of TxCDBG Program by TDA		5
5.	References from curre	nt/nast clients	5	
			10	10
Work Pe	rformance	Subtotal, Experience	30	30
	Factors			
1.		ont/TD &	Max.Pts.	Score
2.	Responds to client (TD)	ent/TDA in a timely manner	5	5
3.	Past client/TDA arrive	requests in a timely manner	5	_3
4.	Work product is an activity	s completed on schedule	5	
5.	Past client CDA	ently of high quality with low level of error	rs 5	
J.	findings/concerns	s have low level of monitoring	5	
6.	Manages projects within	hudgetee		5
	5 -		5	-5
Canacity (	to Perform	Subtotal, Performance	30	19
2 Spacity	Factors			-6-/
1.			Max.Pts.	Score
2.	Present and Davis to the	sional Administrators / Experience of Staf	f 5	Score
3.	Present and Projected V	Vorkloads	5	- 5
	Quality of Proposal/Wor	k Plan	5	_5
7.	Demonstrated understar	nding of scope of the TxCDBG Project	5	
		Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	20	
roposed			2.0	20
	Factors	1	Max.Pts.	
	A = Lowest Proposal B = Bidder's Proposal	\$ 12,000,00 \$ 18,550.00	Max.Pts.	Score Score
		A ÷ B X 20 equals Respondent's Sco	re 20	a 16
OTAL SC			- 20	
	Factors		Max.Pts.	
	Experience		30	Score
	Work Performance			<u>a9</u> 50
	Capacity to Perform		30	_28 29
	Proposed Cost		20	19 20
		Total Score	100	19 16
				9:

	$\Omega_{\bullet}$		vices Rating She	et
G	rant Recipient PRESIDIO			
N:	ame of Respondent RAW Work	KS	TxCDBG Contract No	7045400
P=	LOD FOR INO			
EXP				29.16
eith	perience – Rate the Respondent of the ed for each factor. Information necess er from past experience with the Respondence	Request For Proposal (RFP	) by awarding paint	
Ext	perience with the Response	ondent and/or by seek	ent on these criteria m	ip to the maximum
	er from past experience with the Responserience  Factors	and by contacting	past/current clients	of the Respondent
	1. Related F			opondent.
	Experience / Rackground	with federally funded	Max.Pts.	Score
	Related Experience / Background (housing rehabilitation, acquisition)	vith specific projects	10	10
	(housing rehabilitation, acquisition regulatory agency, etc.)	of property, coordination with	5	
	Certified Administrator of TxCDBG     References from currently.	_		
	<ol><li>References from current/past client</li></ol>	Program by TDA	5	
			10	
Work	Performance	, Experience		9
	Factors		30	29
	Submits requests to clients.		N	0
	Submits requests to client/TDA in a :     Responds to client/TDA	imely manner	Max.Pts.	Score
	I DA FARILLA :-	**	5	5
3			5	- 6
,	Work product is consistently of high of past client/TDA projects have low low.	juality with low level of errors	5	4
	<ol> <li>Past client/TDA projects have low lev findings/concerns</li> </ol>	el of monitoring		3
6	<ol> <li>Manages projects within budgetary co</li> </ol>	Thomas and the second	5	
	Subtraction of the subtraction o	nstraints	5	5
Capaci	y to Perform	Performance	30	5
	Factors		50	29
1	Qualifications of Professional Advis		Max.Pts.	
2	Qualifications of Professional Administ Present and Projected Workloads	rators / Experience of Staff	5	Score
3.			5 -	5
4.	Demonstrated understanding		5 -	5
	Demonstrated understanding of scope	of the TxCDBG Project	5 -	5_
Propose	auntotal c	apacity to Perform	20 =	-5
	Factors		20	20
	A = Lowest Proposal \$	ς:	Max.Pts.	
	B = Bidder's Proposal \$ /C	000	WIBA.P (S.	Score
	107	200		11
TOTAL S	A ÷ B X 20 e	quals Respondent's Score	20	16
	Factors			16
	Experience		Max.Pts.	
	Work Performance		30	Score
	Capacity to Perform		30	27
	Proposed Cost		20 —	29
			20 —	20
	Tota	I Score	100	16
			1. T.W.	94

	C 1	rices Rating S	heet
Grant	Recipient Presiden Canal		
		TxCDBG Contract N	C 7045400
Evalua	Storic Now	Date of Rating	2-21-1/
	TO I TO INCIDENT		
listed f	or each forter	Th	
either f	ence Rate the Respondent of the Request For Proposal (RFP) or each factor. Information necessary to assess the Responder om past experience with the Respondent and/or by contacting	nt on these oritoria	ts up to the maximum
Experie	rom past experience with the Respondent and/or by contacting	past/current clien	ts of the Bosnand
	Factors		o of the Respondent.
1		Max.Pts.	Cana
2	Related Experience / Background with federally funded projects     Related Experience / Background		Score
	<ul> <li>Related Experience / Background with specific project type (housing rehabilitation, acquisition of property, coordination with regulatory agency, etc.)</li> </ul>	5	/0
4.	Certified Administrator of TxCDBG Program by TDA		5
б.	References from current/past clients	5	4
		10	10
Work Pe	Subtotal, Experience	30	30 -
	Factors		
1.		Max.Pts.	Score
2.	Responds to client/TDA requests in a timely manner	5	Source
3.	Responds to client/TDA requests in a timely manner Past client/TDA projects completed on schedule	5	
4.	Work product is consistently at hint	5	7
5.	Work product is consistently of high quality with low level of errors Past client/TDA projects have low level of monitoring findings/concerns	5	
		5	
6.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	5,
	Subtotal, Performance	30	4
Capacity i	10 Perform	50	-28 -
1.	Factors Outlier of the second	Max.Pts.	
2.	Qualifications of Professional Administrators / Experience of Staff	5	Score
3.	Tojected Workloads	5	
	Quality of Proposal/Work Plan	5	5
4.	Demonstrated understanding of scope of the TxCDBG Project	5	-4
	Subtotal Canacity to Dorse	20	5
Proposed (	cost	20	19 -
	Factors	Max.Pts.	
i	A = Lowest Proposal \$ 15,000	Wiebc. PLS.	Score
	B = Bidder's Proposal \$ 18,500		1/
	A ÷ 8 X 20 equals Respondent's Score	20	16
TOTAL SCO	JKE .	20	
	actors	M D-	
	xperience	Max.Pts. 30	Score
	Vork Performance		30
	Capacity to Perform	30	- 28
	Proposed Cost	20	
	Total Score	20	- 16
	. 500 00016	100	92 -
			13

Grant R	ecipient Kresidio (	unte	T-0000 0			
	f Respondent Gran	+ Works	TxCDBG Contra	act No	7215409	_
Evaluat	or's Name Jim Wh	ite.	Date of Rating _			_
Experier listed for either fro	nce – Rate the Respondent reach factor. Information om past experience with the	of the Request For Proposal (RI necessary to assess the Respor e Respondent and/or by contact	P) by awarding padent on these cr	points up	to the maximum y be gathered	
Experier	nce	, and an	ng pasucuitent	chents of	the Respondent	<u> </u>
	Factors		Max.P	)fg	Score	
1.	Related Experience / Bac	kground with federally funded proje	ects 10	10.	10	
2.	Related Experience / Back	kground with specific project type quisition of property, coordination w	F		14	=
4.	Certified Administrator of	TxCDBG Program by TDA	5			
5.			10			-
		Subtotal, Experience	30		10	
Work Pe	rformance	and the second s			29	-
	Factors		Max.Pts		0	
1.	Submits requests to client	/TDA in a timely manner	5	<u>5.</u>	Score	
2.	Responds to client/TDA re	quests in a timely manner	5		_5	_
3.	Past client/TDA projects of	ompleted on schedule	5			-
4.	Work product is consistent	tly of high quality with low level of e	rrors 5		3	- <
5.	Past client/TDA projects h findings/concerns	ave low level of monitoring	5		~	- ,
6.	Manages projects within b	udgetary constraints	5		_5	-
		Subtotal, Performance	30		5	
Capacity	to Perform		30		28	-
	Factors		Max.Pts		S	
1.	Qualifications of Profession	nal Administrators / Experience of S	Staff 5	24	Score	
2.	Present and Projected Wo	rkloads	5		<del>-},</del>	-
3.	Quality of Proposal/Work F	Plan	5		7	-
4.	Demonstrated understand	ing of scope of the TxCDBG Project			7/	3
		Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	20		4	:
Proposed	Cost				1 /	
	Factors	. رستو و	Max.Pts	1.:	Score	
	A = Lowest Proposal	\$ 15,000		-	90010	,
	B = Bidder's Proposal	\$ 18,550			200 16	2 -
		A ÷ B X 20 equals Respondent's	Score 20			•
TOTAL S						
-	Factors		Max.Pts	<u>.</u>	Score	
	Experience		30		130	29
	Work Performance		30		2 2	28
	Capacity to Perform		20		20	17
	Proposed Cost	7- 98 7 27	20		20	16
	Cate	Total Score	100		90	
	16 1 ye				, 5	90
	W. GCV			2 340	texas a minimum	

Name	Recipient Presidi	o County	VCDBC Control	
Evalua	of Respondent Gram	fevories D	xCDBG Contract No	7215409
Evente	HOIS WAITE LOVEN ?	6	ate of Rating	
listed for	ence – Rate the Respor or each factor. Information from past experience w	ndent of the Request For Proposal (RFP) ation necessary to assess the Responde the the Respondent and/or by contacting	by awarding points ι nt on these criteria m	up to the maximum
Experie		and by contacting	past/current clients	of the Respondent
	ractors			
1.	Related Experience /	Background with federally funded projects	Max.Pts.	Score
2.	(housing rehabilitation regulatory agency, et	n, acquisition of property, coordination with	10 5	- 8
4.	Certified Administrato	r of TxCDBG Program by TDA		3
5.	References from curre	ent/past cliente	5	4
			10	
Work Pe	rformance	Subtotal, Experience	30	
	Factors			25
1.		iont/FDA:	Max.Pts.	Cons
2.	Responds to client/Th	ient/TDA in a timely manner	5	Score
3.	Past client/TDA:	A requests in a timely manner	5	4
4.	Mark product is	ts completed on schedule	5	
5.	Pact offent To a	tently of high quality with low level of errors	5	- 4
	findings/concerns	is nave low level of monitoring	5	
6.	Manages projects within	n budgetary constraints	-	- 4
		Subtotal, Performance	5	_4
apacity t	to Perform	- Tormanoe	30	24.
	Factors			
1.	Qualifications of Profes	sional Administrators / Experience of Staff	Max.Pts.	Score
2.	Present and Projected	Norkinade	5	5
3.	Quality of Proposal/Wor	k Plan	5	4
4.	Demonstrated understa	nding of access for an	5	7
	wile will did	nding of scope of the TxCDBG Project	5	
oposed (	Cost	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	20	
	Factors			17
	A = Lowest Proposal	as a promise of the	Max.Pts.	Sansa
	B = Bidder's Proposal	\$ 15,000.	1000	Score
		A ÷ B X 20 equals Respondent's Score	20	16
TAL SCO		,	40	
	actors		W 80	
	xperience		Max.Pts.	Score
	Work Performance		30	25
	Capacity to Perform		30	2.21
	roposed Cost		20	17
		Total D	20	16
		Total Score	100	
				82